# **R&D** Priorities For the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership

Richard L. Garwin IBM Fellow Emeritus\* (\* Personal views. Affiliation given for identification only) Thomas J. Watson Research Center Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

RLG2 at us.ibm.com, (914) 945-2555, <u>www.fas.org/RLG/</u>

**GNEP** Slides

R.L. Garwin Testimony for House Science Committee

## **Comments on GNEP**

- •US nuclear power plants (103 of them) provide almost 20% of US electricity. First, do no harm.
- GNEP includes provision of reactor fuel to international partners and take back of spent fuel for disposal. Need to create an international system.
- Reprocessing can extend uranium resource for lightwater reactors (LWR) by 20% at most, at a cost per kg of \$130-1000. DOE purpose is primarily to save repository resource; at what cost and risk?
- Yucca Mountain can be extended and replicated; dry cask storage is cheap and safe for 50-100 years.

## **Comments on GNEP (2)**

- . GNEP does not propose reprocessing and recycle into LWRs, and for good reason.
- Once-through US fuel cycle is far more proliferation resistant than is the proposed UREX+ reprocessing oTo obtain 10 kg of Pu, must steal and reprocess 1000 kg of self-protecting spent fuel; vs. oUREX+: must steal 11 kg of separated Pu
- . GNEP's proposed UREX+ separation for LWR fuel and burning in fast-neutron Advanced Burner Reactors—ABR—is far more costly than enhancing the repository space. YM estimated at 200,000 tons.

**GNEP** Slides

# Comments on GNEP (3) (Reprocessing and Burning of Transuranics)

- Defining the GNEP program without the promised systems analysis tool is like driving without a map
- The \$155 M first-year UREX+ program is misguided OUREX not significantly better than PUREX OIt is ABR-fuel reprocessing that needs 99+% efficiency, not the LWR that is done just once
- The ABRs (at least 30% of the LWR population) will need to be government operated or heavily subsidized.
- Big gamble is the ABR, fuel form, fuel reprocessing; needs extended design competition (decades).

# What to do?

- Lift arbitrary 62,000 ton cap on Yucca Mountain
- Commit to dry-cask interim storage for up to 100 yr
- USG take the lead in creating an international system for assured supply of LEU reactor fuel, and assured disposal
- . USG lead in institutional design to encourage commercial, competitive mined geologic repositories, certified by IAEA, to accept IAEAcertified spent fuel forms and IAEA-certified highlevel waste packs such as vitrified fission products.

# Outsource to repositories elsewhere, not just in the U.S..

**GNEP** Slides

R.L. Garwin Testimony for House Science Committee

## What to do? (2)

•USG fund worldwide evaluation of resource vs. cost of currently uneconomic terrestrial and seawater uranium resources, e.g.,

0170 million tons terrestrial at \$260/kg?02,000 million tons from seawater at \$??/kg

. Complete and use the systems analysis tool to guide decisions—not to justify them after the fact